Answers to AS spreads

Amount of substance

Page 6–7

- **1 a** 24.3 g
- **b** 9.0 g
- **c** 27.0 g
- **2 a** 48.6 g
 - **b** 13.5 g
 - **c** 54.0 g
 - **d** 80.0 g
 - **e** 30.3 g
- **3 a** $32.1 \,\mathrm{g}\,\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$
 - **b** 64.1 g mol⁻¹
 - **c** 44.0 g mol⁻¹
 - **d** 117.3 g mol⁻¹ **e** 17.0 g mol⁻¹
- **4** a 0.75 mol
- **b** 1.5 mol
 - **c** 2.0 mol
- **5 a** $100 \,\mathrm{g}\,\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$
- **b** $44.0 \,\mathrm{g\,mol^{-1}}$
 - **c** $100 \,\mathrm{g}\,\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$

Avogadro constant

Page 8–9

- **1 a** 6.02×10^{23}
- **b** 6.02×10^{23}
- **c** 6.02×10^{23}
- **2 a** 6.02×10^{22}
- **b** 1.51×10^{24}
- **c** 4.52×10^{24}
- **3 a** 6.02×10^{23} **b** 6.02×10^{23}
- **c** 6.02×10^{23}
- **4** a 1.204×10^{24}
- **b** 9.03×10^{23}
- **c** 1.204×10^{23}
- **5** 1.204×10^{24}

Relative atomic mass

Page 10–11

- **1** 10.8
- **2** 69.8
- **3** 39.14
- 4 46.65 32.09
- **6** 79.98

0 /9.90

Moles and gas volumes

Page 12–13

- **1 a** 0.25 mol
 - **b** 1.5 mol
 - **c** 5.0 mol
 - **d** 3.0 mol
 - **e** 0.5 mol

- **2 a** 0.33 mol
 - **b** 3.0 mol
 - **c** 0.075 mol
 - **d** 0.5 mol
 - **e** 0.15 mol
- **3 a** $2.4 \, \text{dm}^3$
 - **b** $60 \, \text{dm}^3$
 - **c** $4.8 \, \text{dm}^3$
 - **d** $360 \,\mathrm{dm^3}$
- **e** 1.2 dm³ **4 a** 5.34 g
 - **a** 5.34 g **b** 22.0 g
 - **c** 160 g

Ideal gas equation

Page 14–15

- **1 a** 373 K
 - **b** 310 K
 - **c** 173 K
 - **d** 473 K
- **e** 73 K
- **2 a** $1 \times 10^{-4} \,\mathrm{m}^3$
 - **b** $0.01 \, \text{m}^3$
 - **c** $0.15 \,\mathrm{m^3}$
 - **d** $5 \times 10^{-4} \,\mathrm{m^3}$
 - **e** $0.02 \,\mathrm{m^3}$
- **3 a** 100 Pa
 - **b** 10 000 Pa
 - **c** 2500 Pa
 - **d** 100 000 Pa
 - **e** 500 Pa
- 4 $1.24 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{m}^3$
- **5** 45.4 Pa
- **6** 6020 K

Concentration

Page 16–17

- 1 $4.0 \,\mathrm{mol}\,\mathrm{dm}^{-3}$
- 2 $2.5 \,\mathrm{mol}\,\mathrm{dm}^{-3}$
- $3 0.025 \, mol \, dm^{-3}$
- **4 a** 0.0036 mol
- **b** 0.013 mol
 - **c** 0.0025 mol
- **5** $0.1 \, \mathrm{dm^3}$
- **6** $5.0 \, \text{dm}^3$
- **7** 0.8 g

Titrations

Page 18–19

- **1 a** 0.00625
 - **b** 0.00625
 - **c** $0.28 \,\mathrm{mol}\,\mathrm{dm}^{-3}$
- **2 a** 0.005
 - **b** 0.005
 - **c** $0.227 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$
- **3 a** 0.0005
 - **b** 0.00025 **c** 0.008 929 mol dm⁻³
- **4 a** 0.1
- **b** 0.1
- **c** $3.571 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$
- **5** 26.35

Mole calculations 1

Page 20–21

- **1 a** 0.02
- **b** 0.02
- **c** 0.806 g
- **2 a** 0.005 **b** 0.005
- **c** 0.2925 g
- **3 a** 0.005
- **b** 0.005
- **c** 0.373 g
- **4 a** 0.05
- **b** 0.025
- **c** $0.6 \, \text{dm}^3$
- **5 a** 0.002 **b** 0.002
 - **c** 0.048 dm³
- **6 a** 0.02
- **b** 0.01
- **c** $0.24 \, \text{dm}^3$
- **7 a** 5.93
- **b** 5.93
 - **c** $142 \, \text{dm}^3$

Mole calculations 2

Page 22–23

- **1 a** 0.02
 - **b** 0.02
 - $c 200 \text{ cm}^3$
- **2 a** 0.020
 - **b** 0.040
 - **c** 20 cm^3
- **3 a** 0.01
 - **b** 0.02
 - **c** 20 cm^3
- **4 a** 0.040
 - **b** 0.080
 - **c** $1.60 \,\mathrm{mol}\,\mathrm{dm}^{-3}$
- **5 a** 0.02
 - **b** 0.04
 - **c** $0.80 \,\mathrm{mol}\,\mathrm{dm}^{-3}$
- **6 a** 0.05
 - **b** 0.05
 - **c** $1.00 \text{ mol } \text{dm}^{-3}$
- **7** 5.61
- 8 8.009 2.81

Empirical formula 1

Page 24–25

- 1 PCl₅
- 2 NH₃
- **3** MgCO₃
- 4 CuSO₄
- 5 Fe_2O_3
- **6** FeCl_2

Empirical formula 2

Page 26–27

1 a CH₂ **b** C₆H₁₂

- a NH₂
 b N₂H₄
 a CH₂
 b C₇H₁₄
 4 a HO
 b H₂O₂
- **5** C₂H₃

Water of crystallisation

Page 28-29

- **1 a** 0.630
 - **b** 0.005
 - **c** 0.035
 - **d** MgSO₄.7H₂O
- **2 a** 1.260
- **b** 0.01
- **c** 0.07
- **d** ZnSO₄.7H₂O
- **3 a** 1.800
 - **b** 0.02
 - **c** 0.10
 - $d \ MgCl_2.5H_2O$
- **4 a** CoCl₂.6H₂O
 - **b** $Ca(NO_3)_2.4H_2O$
 - $c Na_2SO_4.10H_2O$

Shape of simple molecules

Page 30–31

- **1** pyramidal 107°
- 2 tetrahedal 109.5°
- 3 trigonal bipyramidal 120° and 90°
- 4 non linear/bent 104.5°
- 5 octahedral 90°
- 6 trigonal planar 120°
- 7 trigonal planar

Oxidation number

Page 32–33

1 a +1 **b** 0 \mathbf{c} +2 and -2 \mathbf{d} +1 and -1 **e** +1 and −1 **2 a** +4 and −2 **b** +1 and −2 \mathbf{c} +2 and -2 **d** +1 and -2**e** +4 and -2**3** a −3 **b** +5 **c** +4 **d** -2 **e** +4 **4** a +1 **b** +6 **c** +3 **d** +1 **e** +1

- **5 a** +4
 - **b** +1
 - **c** +2
 - **d** +3
 - **e** +2

Oxidation and reduction

Page 34–35

- 1 0 to +2 so zinc is oxidised
- **2 a** magnesium 0 to +2
 - **b** oxygen 0 to -2
- **3 a** zinc 0 to +2
- **b** oxygen 0 to -2
- **a** magnesium 0 to +2
- **b** chlorine 0 to -1
- 5 magnesium is oxidised form 0 to +2 hydrogen is reduced from +1 to 0
- 6 zinc is oxidised from 0 to +2 hydrogen is reduced from +1 to 0
- 7 NO₃⁻

Group 2

Page 36–37

- 1 a The oxidation number of strontium increases from 0 to +2 so the strontium is oxidised. The oxidation number of oxygen decreases from 0 to -2 so the oxygen is reduced.
 - **b** The reducing agent is strontium. The oxidising agent is oxygen.
- 2 a The oxidation number of barium increases from 0 to +2 so the barium is oxidised. The oxidation number of oxygen decreases from 0 to -2 so the oxygen is reduced.
 - **b** The reducing agent is barium. The oxidising agent is oxygen.
- 3 a The oxidation number of strontium increases from 0 to +2 so the strontium is oxidised. The oxidation number of one of the hydrogen atoms in water decreases from +1 to 0 so the hydrogen is reduced.
 - **b** The reducing agent is strontium. The oxidising agent is water.
- 4 a The oxidation number of barium increases from 0 to +2 so the barium is oxidised. The oxidation number of oxygen decreases from 0 to -2 so the oxygen is reduced.
 - **b** The reducing agent is barium. The oxidising agent is water.
- **5 a** 0.005 mol
- **b** 0.005 mol
 - **c** 120 cm³

Group 7

Page 38–39

- **1** a The oxidation number of sodium increases from 0 to +1 so the sodium is oxidised. The oxidation number of fluorine decreases from 0 to -1 so the fluorine is reduced.
- **b** Sodium is the reducing agent. Fluorine is the oxidising agent.
- 2 a The oxidation number of potassium increases from 0 to +1 so the potassium is oxidised. The oxidation number of fluorine decreases from 0 to -1 so the fluorine is reduced.
- **b** Potassium is the reducing agent. Fluorine is the oxidising agent.
- 3 a The oxidation number of iodine increases from -1 to 0 so the iodine is oxidised. The oxidation number of bromine decreases from 0 to -1 so the bromine is reduced.
- **b** Iodide ion is the reducing agent. Bromine is the oxidising agent.
- 4 a The oxidation number of iodine increases from -1 to 0 so the iodine is oxidised. The oxidation number of chlorine decreases from 0 to -1 so the chlorine is reduced.
 - **b** Iodide ion is the reducing agent. Chlorine is the oxidising agent.
- 5 The copper is simultaneously oxidised from +1 to +2 and reduced from +1 to 0

Enthalpy changes 1

Page 40-41

- **1** 2090 J
- 2 8778 J

- **3 a** 3344 J
 - **b** 0.1 mol
 - $c = -33.44 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$
- **4 a** 4389 J
 - **b** 0.15 mol
- c -29.26 kJ mol⁻¹
 5 Insulate the cup

- - - - - - - - - - - - **-** I

Enthalpy changes 2 Page 42–43

- **1** 6270 J
- **2** 9405 J
- **3** 10 032 J
- **4 a** 25.916 kJ
 - **b** 0.03 mol
 - $c = 864 \, \text{kJ} \, \text{mol}^{-1}$
 - **d** exothermic
- **5 a** $5.016 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$
- **b** 0.05 mol
- $c = 100 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$

Hess' Law 1

Page 44-45

- **1** $-137 \, \text{kJ} \, \text{mol}^{-1}$
- $2 128 \, \text{kJ} \, \text{mol}^{-1}$
- $3 1309 \, \text{kJ} \, \text{mol}^{-1}$
- 4 +109 kJ mol⁻¹

Hess' Law 2

Page 46-47

- $1 + 165 \, \text{kJ} \, \text{mol}^{-1}$
- $2 1324 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$
- $3 727 \, \text{kJ} \, \text{mol}^{-1}$
- 4 $-85 \, \text{kJ} \, \text{mol}^{-1}$
- 5 +101 kJ mol⁻¹
- 6 +132 kJ mol⁻¹

Bond enthalpy 1

Page 48–49

- **1** a -1317 kJ mol⁻¹
- **b** -125 kJ mol^{-1}
 - $\mathbf{c} = 483 \, \mathrm{kJ} \, \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$

Bond enthalpy 2

Page 50–51

- **1** 243 kJ mol^{-1}
- 2 347 kJ mol⁻¹
- 3 151 kJ mol^{-1}

Percentage yields

Page 52–53

- **1** 67.2%
- **2** 91.7%
- **3** 52.1%
- **4** 80%
- **5** 40%
- **6** 20%

Atom economy

Page 54–55

- **1** 100%
- **2** 100%
- **3** 83.0%
- **4** 50.6%
- **5** 30.9%

Percentage error in apparatus

Page 56-57

- **1 a** 4.00%
 - **b** 1.25%
 - **c** 2.63%
- **2 a** 5.00%
 - **b** 3.33%
 - **c** 0.602%
- **3** a 2.38%
 - **b** 1.92%
- **c** 1.16%
- **4 a** 8.33%
 - **b** 4.76%
- **c** 2.66% **5 a** 21.65 cm³
 - **b** 0.462%

Mass spectrometry ram

Page 58-59

- 1 87.8
- **2** 24.3
- **3** 40.1
- 4 55.9

Stretch yourself

- **a** Measure mass of all the isotopes and take into account abundance of each isotope.
- **b** Carbon 12 has a mass of 12 amu. $\frac{1}{12}$ of this is 1 amu.

Mass spectroscopy (fragmentation of organic compounds)

Page 60–61

- 1 Chloropropane CH₃CH₂CH₂Cl
- 2 CH₃CH₂COCH₂CH₃
- 3 CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃

Stretch yourself

- **a** Two different molecular ions are found due to the different types of isotopes of chlorine Cl³⁵ Cl³⁷. The ratios of the isotopes lead to the 3 to 1 ratio of molecular ions.
- **b** $CH_3CH_2Cl^+ \rightarrow CH_3CH_2^+ + Cl$
- 4 a (CH₃CH₂)⁺
 - **b** $(CH_3CH_2CH_2)^+$
 - c (CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₂)⁺
 - d $(CH_3CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3)^+$
- 5 a (CH₃CH₂)⁺
 - $b (CH_3CH_2CO)^+$
 - c $(CH_3CH_2COCH_2CH_3)^+$

Entropy

Page 62–63

- **1 a** Positive
 - **b** Negative

- **2** a $270.32 \,\mathrm{J}\,\mathrm{K}^{-1}\,\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$
 - **b** 53.6 J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹
 - c $216.72 \,\mathrm{J}\,\mathrm{K}^{-1}\,\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$
 - $d \ 4040.27 \, J \, K^{-1} \, mol$
 - e 4257.0 J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹. Reaction is feasible because of total entropy change being positive.
- **a** Atomic mass and atomic radius increases down the group along with the number of filled orbitals. 3
 - **b** The van der Waals forces of attraction increases down Group 0.
 - **c** i The boiling points increase down Group 0.
 - ii This is due to a higher enthalpy of vaporisation.
 - **d i** This higher enthalpy of vaporisation leads to a larger entropy change of surroundings.
 - ii At lower temperatures the entropy changes of surroundings has a smaller magnitude.

Stretch Yourself

van der Waals force increase down Group 0 and so do melting points and boiling points. This leads to an increase in enthalpy of vapourisation. This increases the entropy of the surroundings so the entropies of noble gases increase helium to xenon.

Gibbs free energy

Page 64–65

- 1 a $-685156.2 \,\mathrm{J}\,\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ spontaneous
- **b** $-57551.8 \,\mathrm{J}\,\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ spontaneous
- **2** a $-65.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$
- **b** $-33.7 \,\mathrm{J}\,\mathrm{K}^{-1}\,\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$
- $c = -55457.4 \, J \, mol^{-1}$
- **3 a** $1408.7 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$

Stretch yourself

- i $\Delta H = -91 \,\mathrm{kJ} \,\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$
- $\Delta S = 220 \, \mathrm{J} \, \mathrm{K}^{-1} \, \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$
- **ii** When the reaction is no longer feasible $\Delta H = T\Delta S$

 $\frac{91\,000}{10} = T$ 220 $T = 413 \, \text{K}$

Concentration-time graphs

Page 66-67



3 1

Half-life

Page 68–69

 Concentration/time graph The reaction is first order Approximately half life is 180 seconds



- **2 a** When concentration of H_2 doubles the rate doubles
 - \mathbf{b} [H₂] is a first order
 - c When concentration of NO doubles the rate quadruples
 - d [NO] is second order

Stretch yourself

a Approximately 10%

b	0	100%
	5800	50%
	11600	25%
	17400	12.5%

c Approximately 7000 years use graph to determine

Rate by inspection 1

Page 70–71

- 1 a [A] is second order [B] is zero order [C] is zero order
 - **b** Rate = $k[A]^2$
 - **c** Total order is 2
- 2 a [X] is zero order [Y] is 2nd order [Z] is 1st order
 - **b** Rate = $k[Y]^2[Z]$
 - **c** Total order is 3
- 3 a $O_2 + 2CO \rightarrow 2CO_2$
 - **b** The first step is the rate determining step because $[NO_2]$ is the only reactant that effects the rate with an order 2.

Rate by inspection 2

Page 72-73

- **1** Rate = $k[NO]^2[H_2]$
- **2** Rate = $k[A]^3$
- **3 a** [A] doubled rate would double
 - **b** [B] doubled rate would quadruple
 - **c** It increases the rate by a factor of 8 times.

Stretch yourself

- $k = \mathrm{mol}^{-2} \,\mathrm{dm}^6 \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$
- 4 It increases by factor of 8.
- **5** The rate of reaction will increase by a factor of 4.
- 6 The concentration will half so the rate will decrease by a factor of 8.

Rates: rate constant

Page 74-75

- **1 a** $k = \text{mol}^{-1} \text{dm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$
- **b** $k = 1.15 \times 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{mol}^{-1} \,\mathrm{dm}^3 \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$
- **2 a** $k = \text{mol}^{-1} \text{dm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ **b** $17.25 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ dm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$
- **3 a** [NO] = $\frac{\text{rate}}{k[\text{H}_2]}$

b [NO] =
$$\frac{0.0138}{(17.25 \times 0.02)} = 0.04 \,\mathrm{mol}\,\mathrm{dm}^{-3}$$

c It would increase the value of the rate constant

Stretch yourself

- **a** [A] = 1, [B] = 1, [C] = 0 order
- **b** Rate = k[A][B]
- **c** $K = 0.154 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ dm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$

Experiment	[A]	[B]	[C]	Rate mol dm ⁻³ s ⁻¹
1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.00308
2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.00616
3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.00924
4	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.00616
5	0.1	0.6	0.1	0.00924
6	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.00308
7	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.00308

Equilibrium equations

Page 76-77

- $[SO_{3}]^{2}$ **1 a** $k_{\rm c} = \frac{1}{[{\rm SO}_2]^2 [{\rm O}_2]}$
 - \mathbf{b} mol⁻¹dm³
 - c $k_c = 341\,333\,\mathrm{mol}^{-1}\,\mathrm{dm}^3$
 - d The yield of sulfur trioxide would decrease.
- **2 a** $k_c = \frac{[NO]^2}{[N_2O_4]}$

 - **b** Units are mol dm⁻³
 - **c** $k_{\rm c} = 5 \,{\rm mol}\,{\rm dm}^{-3}$
 - **d** k_{c} will increase with temperature
- 3 a $k_c = [CH_3COOCH_3][H_2O]/[CH_3OH][CH_3COOH]$
 - **b** no units
 - **c** 4.33 moles
 - **d** $[CH_3COOCH_3] = 2.17 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}, [H_2O] = 2.17 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}, [CH_3COOH] = 0.34 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}, [CH_3OH] = 1.17 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$
 - **e** $k_c = 11.8 \ 2 \ d.p.$

Stretch yourself

If N2 increases NH3 must increase 4 fold

Equilibrium calculations given equilibrium concentrations

Page 78–79 $\mathbf{1} \quad \mathbf{a} \quad k_{c} = \frac{[\text{HOOCCH}_2\text{NH}_2][\text{H}^+]}{[[\text{HOOCCH}_2\text{NH}_3]]}$ $\mathbf{b} \mod \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ $c 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ **2 a** $k_c = \frac{[O_2]^3}{[O_3]^2}$ $\mathbf{b} \mod \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ c 1.4 mol dm⁻³ d 2.8 moles at equilibrium **e** 134.4 g

Stretch Yourself

- **a** 1000 moles of N_2 , 1000 moles of H_2
- **b** 529.41 moles of NH₃ at equilibrium (2 d.p.)
- **c** 264.71 moles N_2 used up
- **d** 794.12 moles H_2 used up
- e 735.29 moles N_2 left at
- Concentration at $[N_2] = 147.06 \text{ mol } \text{dm}^{-3} [H_2] = 41.18 \text{ mol } \text{dm}^{-3} [\text{NH}_3] = 105.88 \text{ mol } \text{dm}^{-3}$ f
- $\mathbf{g} \ k_{c} = [CH_{3}COOCH_{3}][H_{2}O]/[CH_{3}OH][CH_{3}CH_{2}COOH]$
- h 3 mol of methanol, 8 mol of methyl ethanoate and 6 mol of water.
- **i** 4
- i 1.33 mol of reactants. 2.67 mol of products.

Equilibrium calculations given moles at equilibrium

Page 80–81

1 a $k_c = \frac{[PCl_5]}{[PCl_3][Cl_2]}$ **b** $k_c = \text{mol}^{-1} \text{dm}^3$ **c** $k_c = \frac{0.5}{(0.05 \times 0.05)} = 20 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{dm}^3$ **2 a** $k_c = \frac{[\text{NH}_3]^2}{[\text{N}_2][\text{H}_2]^3}$ $\mathbf{b} \text{ mol}^{-2} \text{dm}^{6}$ c $[NH_3] = 0.50 \text{ mol } dm^{-3}, [H_2] = 0.67 \text{ mol } dm^{-3}, [N_2] = 0.25 \text{ mol } dm^{-3}$ **d** $k_c = 3.32 \text{ mol}^{-2} \text{ dm}^6(2 \text{ d.p.})$ **3 a** $k_c = \frac{[NO_2]^2}{[N_2O_4]}$ **b** $k_c = \text{mol}\,\text{dm}^{-3}$ c $[NO_2] = 1.60 \text{ mol } dm^{-3}, [N_2O_4] = 2.0 \text{ mol } dm^{-3}$ **d** $k_c = \frac{[1.6]^2}{[2]} = 1.28 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$

a
$$k_{\rm c} = \frac{[0.1]^2}{10 \times 10^{-6}}$$
 does not equal 2.0×10^{-6} mol dm⁻³

- $[0.1][0.1]^2$ **b** So not in equilibrium
- \mathbf{c} K_c the equilibrium constant is dependent on temperature.
- **d** Equilibrium will be achieved quicker but the equilibrium position will not be affected.
- e The concentration of reactants will decrease but the products will increase because the forwards reaction is exothermic.
- **f** A high temperature will achieve a low yield but a high rate. It is essential to compromise between yield and rate.

Equilibrium equations given moles at start of reaction

Page 82-83

- **1** a The ratio is 1 mole N_2 : 3 mol H_2 : 2 mol NH_3
 - **b** At equilibrium there is 12 moles N_2 , 21 moles H_2 and 6 moles of NH_3 **c** $k_{\rm c} = \frac{[{\rm NH}_3]^2}{[{\rm N}_2][{\rm H}]^3}$ units mol⁻³ dm⁹

d $k_c = 3.239 \times 10^{-4} \,\mathrm{mol}^{-3} \,\mathrm{dm}^9$

- a 0.0126 moles CuSO₄.7H₂O react 2
- **b** At equilibrium there is 0.0126 moles CuSO₄ and 0.0882 moles H₂O and 0.00490 moles CuSO₄.7H₂O **c** $k_{\rm c} = \frac{[{\rm CuSO}_4][{\rm H}_2{\rm O}]^7}{[{\rm CuSO}_4.7{\rm H}_2{\rm O}]} = 7.93 \times 10 - 7 \,{\rm mol}^7 \,{\rm dm}^{-21}$
- **d** $k_c = 3.99 \times 10^{-11} \,\mathrm{mol^5} \,\mathrm{dm^{-15}}$
- **a** Concentration of each reactant $[CH_3CH_2CH_2OH] = 8.0 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$, $[HCOOH] = 10.0 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ 3
 - **b** 0.1875 moles of methanoic acid with 0.1875 moles of propanol reacts to form 0.1875 moles of propyl methanoate and water. Therefore at equilibrium will be 0.0125 moles of propanol, 0.0625 moles of methanoic acid and 0.1875 moles of both products.
 - c $[CH_3CH_2CH_2OH] = 0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$, $[HCOOH] = 2.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ and $[HCOOCH_2CH_2CH_3] = 7.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$, $[H_2O]$ $=7.5 \,\mathrm{mol}\,\mathrm{dm}^{-3}$

d
$$K_{\rm c} = 45$$

Stretch yourself

- **a** 0.50 mol
- **b** 2.5 mol
- c The equilibrium would move to oppose the change, as in Le Chatelier's principle, producing more products. K_c would stay the same.
- **d** At equilibrium there would be 1 mole of ethanol, 9 moles of ethyl ethanoate and 6 moles of water.
- **e** $K_{\rm c}$ would now equal 27.

Calculating pH

Page 84-85

- **1** a 2.4
 - **b** 3.3
 - **c** 0.08
- 2 pH 3.1
- **3 a** 0.0001 or 10^{-4} mol dm⁻³
 - **b** 0.0000001 or 10^{-7} mol dm⁻³
 - c $0.00000158 \text{ or } 1.58 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol } \text{dm}^{-3}$
 - d $6.31 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ or $0.00000000631 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$

Stretch yourself

Sulfuric acid		H ₂ SO ₄	$K_{\rm a}$ 1 = Large	$K_{\rm a} 2 = 1.2 \times 10^{-2}$		

The second dissociation of HSO_4- , $\rightarrow H^+ + SO_4$

Is very weak in comparison

Assume 0.1 mols of hydrogen ions from first dissociation

For second dissociation

 $K_{\rm a} = \frac{[\mathrm{H}^+]^2}{\mathrm{HSO_4}^-}$ $1.2 \times 10^{-2} \times 0.1 = [\mathrm{H}^+]^2$ $[H^+] = 0.0346 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ extra hydrogen ions}$ A monoprotic acid of the same concentration would have pH $pH = -\log[0.1] = 1$ Sulfuric acid pH = -log [0.1346] = 0.874 pH = 2.4 \therefore [H⁺] = 3.98 × 10⁻³ mol dm⁻³ moles $H^+ = 0.01$ volume = 2.512 dm⁻³ Add 2412 cm³ of water

Acid dissociation constant

Page 86-87

1 a $K_{a} = \frac{[CH_{3}COO^{-}][H^{+}]}{[CH_{3}COOH]}$ **b** $K_{a} = \frac{[C_{6}H_{5}COO^{-}][H^{+}]}{[C_{6}H_{5}COOH]}$ **c** $K_{a} = \frac{[CH_{3}CHClCH_{2}COO^{-}][H^{+}]}{[CH_{3}CHClCH_{2}COOH]}$ **2** a 3.8 **b** 4.2 **c** 4.0

Stretch yourself

- **a** $K_{a} = \frac{[H^{+}][F^{-}]}{K^{-}}$ [HF]
- **b** $pK_a = -\log K_a = 3.13 (2 \text{ d.p.})$
- c Hydrofluoric acid is readily absorbed through the skin into blood and other tissues skin contact may be fatal. Acts as a systemic poison, even when highly diluted. May cause tissue necrosis and degeneration of bones, requiring amputation. Causes severe burns, potentially deep within tissue.

Reaction may be delayed and less extreme in diluted form.

The ionisation of water

Page 88-89

- **1** a 0.1 mol m^{-3} or $10^{-1} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$
 - **b** $0.04 \,\mathrm{mol}\,\mathrm{dm}^{-3}\,\mathrm{or}\,4 \times 10^{-2}\,\mathrm{mol}\,\mathrm{dm}^{-3}$
 - **c** 10^{-10} mol dm⁻³
 - **d** $2 \times 10^{-11} \, \text{mol} \, \text{dm}^{-3}$
- **2** a 10^{-12} mol² dm⁻⁶
 - **b** $10^{-22} \operatorname{mol}^2 \operatorname{dm}^{-6}$
 - **c** $4 \times 10^{-10} \, \text{mol}^2 \, \text{dm}^{-6}$

Stretch yourself

The ionic product of water increases with temperature as the equilibrium moves to favour the dissociation into hydrogen ions. This increased concentration of hydrogen ions decreases the pH of water.

- **3** a In pure water every water molecule H_2O that dissociates produces one $[H^+]$ and one $[OH^-]$
 - **b** Without the addition of hydrogen ions the electrical conductivity is weak, because of the low number of both hydrogen and hydroxide ions.
 - c Increasing the temperature will increase the dissociation of water because dissociation is an endothermic process and, according to Le Chatelier's principle, the equilibrium will move from left to right to oppose the increase in temperature. This increase in dissociation will increase K_{w} .

pH of strong bases

Page 90-91

- **1** a 12.0
- **b** 11.6
- **c** 9.70
- **d** 14.5
- **2** a 14.7
 - **b** 13.9

Stretch Yourself

```
a K_{\rm b} = \frac{[C_5H_5NH^+][OH^-]}{[C_5H_5NH]}
```

- **b** 9.62
- c [OH⁻] increases so [H⁺] decreases and pH increases.
- **d** $H^+ = 2.51 \text{ x} 10^{-10} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ OH- 0.000 042 426 pOH = 4.4pH = 9.6
- e The higher the temperature the larger the concentration of OH⁻ ions, therefore the higher the pH.

pH Of Buffers

Page 92-93

- **1** a $pK_{a} = 9.886$ pH = 8.76
 - **b** pH = 9.18
 - **c** $pK_a = 4.187$
 - pH = 3.79
 - **d** pH = 3.49
 - **e** $pK_a = 4.770$
 - pH = 3.47**f** pH = 3.54
 - **g** $pK_a = 9.310$
 - pH = 7.83
 - **h** pH = 8.18
 - **i** $pK_a = 3.347$
 - pH = 2.22
 - **j** pH = 2.83

2 a $M_r CH_3COOH = 60$ No. of moles = 0.1166667 Concentration CH₃COOH = 0.58 mol dm⁻³ $M_r CH_3COONa = 82$ No. of moles CH₃COONa = 0.1707 Concentration = 0.85 mol dm⁻³ $pK_a = 4.770$ pH = 4.6b $M_r HCN = 27$ $M_r NaCN = 49$ [HCN] = 1.852 mol dm⁻³ [NaCN] = 2.041 mol dm⁻³ $pK_a = 9.310$ pH = 9.35

Stretch yourself

$$\begin{split} [\mathrm{H^+}] &= 3.1622 \times 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{mol} \,\mathrm{dm^{-3}} \\ [\mathrm{H^+}] \,\mathrm{propanoic} \,\mathrm{acid} &= 39.1 \,\mathrm{cm^3} \\ [\mathrm{HA}] \,\mathrm{sodium} \,\mathrm{propanoate} &= 160.9 \,\mathrm{cm^3} \end{split}$$

Born Haber Cycle 1

Page 94–95







Born Haber Cycles 2

Page 96–97

			$\Delta H/kJ mol^{-1}$	
1	А	$\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{s}) + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Br}_2(\mathrm{l}) \to \mathrm{K}^+ \mathrm{Br}^-(\mathrm{s})$	-392	Enthalpy change of formation
2	В	$K(s) \rightarrow K(g)$	+90	Enthalpy change of atomisation
3	С	$K(g) \rightarrow K+(g) + e^{-}$	+420	First ionisation energy of potassium
4	D	$\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Br}_2(l) \to \operatorname{Br}(g)$	+112	Bond enthalpy of bromine
5	Е	$Br(g) + e^- \rightarrow Br^-(g)$	-342	First electron affinity of bromine

 $H_{le} = H_a - (H_b + H_c + H_d - H_e) = -672 \, kJ \, mol^{-1}$

NaBr would have a higher lattice enthalpy due to smaller Na ionic radius. KF would have higher lattice enthalpy due to smaller ionic radius of F.

Stretch yourself

 $\Delta H \text{ MgCl}_2 = -113 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ 2MgCl \rightarrow Mg + MgCl₂ $\Delta H = -398 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ so MgCl₂ stable with respect to MgCl.

Enthalpies of solution

Page 98–99

- 2 $-30 \, \text{kJ} \, \text{mol}^{-1}$
- 4 +17 kJ mol⁻¹
- 6 -120 kJ mol⁻¹
- 8 +960 kJ mol⁻¹

Stretch yourself

 $H_{sol} BaCl_2 = +55 \text{ KJ mol}^{-1}$ $H_{sol} BaF_2 = +65 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ BaF_2 is less soluble because it requires more energy more endothermic process in order to dissolve.

Factors that affect lattice enthalpy

Page 100–101

- $1 \ \ a \ \ \, NaCl \ \ and \ \ KBr \ NaCl \ has \ higher \ \ lattice \ \ enthalpy \ because \ \ of \ smaller \ \ ionic \ \ radius \ \ of \ \ Na^+ \ and \ \ Cl^$
 - **b** K_2S and Na_2S Na_2S has a higher lattice because of smaller ionic radius of Na^+
 - c MgCl₂ and RbCl MgCl₂ has a higher lattice enthalpy because of greater ionic charge of Mg²⁺
 - **d** MgSO₄ and MgO MgO has a higher lattice enthalpy because of smaller ionic radius of O^{2-}
- **2** a XCO_3 (s) $\rightarrow XO(s) + CO_2(g)$
 - **b** The carbonates decrease in thermal stability from MgCO₃ to BaCO₃
 - c Thermal stability decrease down group 2 carbonates because of larger ionic radius reducing the lattice enthalpy.

Stretch yourself

As the ionic radius increases down Group 2 both the lattice enthalpy and the enthalpy of hydration decrease. However, for large anions ions such as sulfate the increase in size of the cation makes less difference to the lattice enthalpy than it does to the enthalpy of hydration, and so the solubility of the sulfates decreases down the group. For smaller anions such as hydroxide the decrease in lattice enthalpy is more significant than the decrease in enthalpy of hydration, and so the solubility of the hydroxides increases down the group.

Calculating the cell potential

Page 102-103

- **1** i Na: Na⁺ || Cl₂ : 2Cl⁻ Na being oxidised and Cl₂ being reduced $E_{cell} = +4.22V$ **ii** Na: Na⁺ || Br₂: 2Br⁻ Na is oxidised and Br₂ is reduced $E_{cell} = +3.8V$ **iii** Fe: Fe $^{2+} || 2H^+: H_2$ Fe is oxidised and H⁺ is reduced $E_{cell} = +0.44V$ iv Na: Na⁺ || Fe²⁺;Fe Na is oxidised and Fe²⁺ is reduced $E_{cell} = +2.27V$ **v** Al: Al³⁺ || Fe²⁺: Fe Al is oxidised and Fe²⁺ is reduced $E_{cell} = + 1.23V$ vi 2Br⁻:Br₂ || Cl₂:2Cl⁻ Br⁻ is oxidised and Cl₂ is reduced
 - E cell = +0.42V

Stretch yourself

 $H_2|2H^+||Br_2|2Br^-$ Hydrogen is oxidised to H_1 ions. In this case hydrogen ions will increase and therefore pH will decrease if left on. Fe $|Fe^{2+}||2H^+/H_2$ Hydrogen ions will decrease in this case so pH will increase if cell is left on.

Redox titrations

Page 104-105 1 a $Mg + Cu^{2+} \rightarrow Mg^{2+} + Cu$ **b** $2Al + 3F_2 \rightarrow Al_2F_6$ $c 2Na + 2H^+ \rightarrow 2Na^+ + H_2$ **d** $2H_2 + O_2 \rightarrow 2H_2O$ **2** a Mn in MnO_4^- is +7 **b** Cr in $Cr_2O_7^{2-}$ is +6 **c** Cu in Cu₂O is +1**d** Cr in Cr2(SO₄)₃ is +3Balanced redox equations 3 a $3Cu + 8H^+ + 2NO_3 \rightarrow 3Cu^{2+} + 2NO + 4H_2O$ **b** $6Na + Cr_2O_7^{2-} + 14H^+ \rightarrow 6Na^+ + 2Cr^{3+} + 7H_2O$ Stretch yourself $Fe^{2+} \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + e^{-}$ $MnO_4^- + 8H^+ + 5e^- \rightarrow Mn^{2+} + 4H_2O$ $5Fe^{2+} + MnO_4^{-} + 8H^+ \rightarrow 5Fe^{3+} + Mn^{2+} + 4H_2O$ Concentration Fe^{2+} ions = 0.124 mol dm⁻³ **a** $2MnO_4^{-}(aq) + 6H^{+}(aq) + 5H_2C_2O_4(aq) = 2Mn^{2+}(aq) + 8H_2O(l) + 10CO_2(g)$ **b** $M_r(H_2C_2O_4.2H_2O) = 126.0$ total mol $H_2C_2O_4.2H_2O$ (or $C_2O_4^{-2}$) = 1.50 / 126 = 0.011 904, but mol of $H_2C_2O_4.2H_2O^-$ in titration = 0.001 190 4 (1/10 used, 25 of 250 cm³), mol $MnO_4^- = mol \text{ of } C_2O_4^{-2} / 2.5 \text{ (2:5 or 1:2.5 ratio)},$ $\text{mol MnO}_4^- = 0.001\,190\,4\,/\,2.5 = 0.000\,476\,19$ (in 12.5 cm³), scaling up to 1 dm³ the molarity of $MnO_4^- = 0.0004824 \times 1000 / 12.50 = 0.0380 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$

Redox titrations 2

Page 106–107

- 1 Concentration of bleach B is 0.01 mol dm^{-3} and concentration of bleach C is $0.0295 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$
- 2 Two other factors that need to be considered when choosing the best bleach is the viscosity of the bleach and the chemical half life how long the bleach continues to be active

Stretch yourself

- **a** Thiosulfate $0.069 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$
- **b** The initial concentration of 0.01 mol dm^{-3} was more suitable because it required a larger volume to titrate. The other small titre of 3.5 cm^3 would have a much larger and significant percentage error.